



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

10/004978 12/03/2001 MA SHIPPING

08CJ12659

EXAMINER
----------

U. K. RAJGURU

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1711

DATE MAILED:

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Dr. Marina T. Larson (Attorney) (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Umeshant K. Rajguru (Examiner) (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview Mar 02, 2004

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: Claim 1 in particular

Identification of prior art discussed: Fuhr reference specifically.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Larson

agreed that the three components (a), (b) and (c) of instant claim 1 are taught by prior art. She argued that there was a long list of phosphoric acid esters taught by Fuhr and there is no guidance in prior art to choose the claimed ones in amounts that are encompassed by instant claims. She further added that the superior proper-

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

- ☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner's Signature

U. K. Rajguru